

Solutions

2.1 Normally allows exceptions. A harmful promise or conflicting obligation challenges an unconditional duty, but not necessarily the qualified claim. Explain whether the justification is reliance, consequences or another principle. Authored points for identifying relevant evidence, explaining a supported inference and a material limit.

3.1 A qualified duty can hold when keeping the promise does not conflict with a weightier justified obligation. Explain why reliance still matters and how harm is assessed, then address whether the exception is too broad. A qualification needs a principle, not permission to break any inconvenient promise. Authored points for a relevant judgement, reason tied to the case and justified qualification or method. Accept a supported alternative.