

Solutions

2.1 A links acceptance to reciprocal obligation; B records distribution without establishing its meaning. They need not contradict each other. The statement is one member's account, not a community-wide rule. Ask consenting members about differing experiences and compare what actually happens later. A visitor may miss relationships that a member takes for granted; a member may also present an idealized account. Neither position is automatically superior. Authored points for identifying relevant evidence, explaining a supported inference and a material limit.

3.1 The officer may describe a public ideal while the newcomer describes an experienced constraint. Compare roles, context and voluntary accounts rather than averaging them or treating one as the whole community. Observe how refusal is handled where approved. Explain how the researcher's access might favour officeholders. Authored points for a relevant judgement, reason tied to the case and justified qualification or method. Accept a supported alternative.