

## Solutions

---

**2.1** Answer: D. Systematic hypothesis testing with controlled variables is formal-operational reasoning. The other options do not satisfy the stated defining conditions.

**2.2** Answer: C. Clinging without comfort defines the resistant (ambivalent) pattern; avoidant infants keep distance. Compare the exact process, design or evidence, rather than an associated keyword.

**2.3** Use the worked case evidence to support a bounded claim and explain why a causal, universal or stronger claim needs additional evidence. The claim must fit the specific design and observed process.

**3.1** Ask whether the amount changed and why, then ask what would happen if the water were poured back. Keep the initial amount and transfer visible and avoid evaluative feedback. Reversibility or attention to both height and width would support conservation reasoning; one incorrect answer may also reflect language or task demands. Award B1 each (authored) for the interpretation, its reasoning, a relevant limitation/alternative and an appropriate check, up to four points. Accept a different justified answer satisfying these demands.