

Polarisation, analyser chains and phase

GRE undergraduate preparation · local supported objectives

Choose the physical question

Reasoning

Inserting a third polariser between crossed filters can increase the transmitted intensity, even though every filter removes energy. Calculate successive ideal analyser transmissions from the immediate input state Distinguish linear, circular and elliptical field motion using relative phase Use Brewster incidence with refractive-index and plane-of-incidence conventions

Project the electric field

Reasoning

For propagation along z , a transverse electric field can have x and y components. Linear polarisation means the field oscillates along one fixed line; an ideal analyser transmits the projection along its axis. Field amplitude becomes $E \cos\theta$, so intensity becomes $I \cos^2\theta$. Here θ is between the incoming polarisation and that analyser, and I is the intensity immediately before it. An unpolarised beam is a statistical mixture of transverse orientations; an ideal first polariser passes half its mean intensity. The outgoing beam is then linearly polarised along the filter axis. Do not apply a new factor of one half at every later filter, or use one angle to the original source for the whole chain.

Update each analyser input

Reasoning

After each analyser, update both the intensity and the polarisation direction. For an initially unpolarised beam and ideal axes α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , the final intensity is $(I_0/2)\cos^2(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)\cos^2(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)$. Two crossed filters transmit zero in this model; a middle oblique axis changes the direction before the final projection. This increase relative to the crossed pair does not create energy: every step still has transmission between zero and one. Real filters have absorption, imperfect extinction and wavelength dependence. Use the ideal law only when those losses are excluded or separately specified.

Track transverse phase

Reasoning

Write $E_x = A \cos \omega t$ and $E_y = B \cos(\omega t + \delta)$ at a fixed point. Equal or opposite phases give a line, including a line at an oblique angle; equal nonzero amplitudes and a quarter-cycle phase difference give a circle. Unequal amplitudes at quarter-cycle phase give an ellipse. A quarter-wave plate adds a relative phase of $\pi/2$ between its principal axes under its design conditions. A linear input at 45° supplies equal components, so the outgoing field can be circular. A linear input along a principal axis has only one component and remains linear. For circular input, every ideal linear analyser passes half the intensity; handedness requires a declared viewing direction and phase convention.

Apply Brewster geometry

Reasoning

For incidence from transparent nonmagnetic medium n_1 into n_2 , Brewster's angle measured from the normal satisfies $\tan\theta_B = n_2/n_1$. At that angle, the reflected p component, whose electric field lies in the plane of incidence, vanishes in the ideal dielectric model. Reflected light from unpolarised input is then s polarised, perpendicular to that plane. Snell's law gives a refracted angle complementary to θ_B . This is distinct from the critical-angle condition $\sin\theta_c = n_2/n_1$, which needs $n_1 > n_2$ and concerns total internal reflection. Brewster reflection does not imply that the entire incident intensity is reflected or that the transmitted beam is completely polarised.

Worked reasoning 1

Reasoning

An ideal first polariser transmits half of unpolarised incident intensity I_0 . Each later analyser uses the angle from the immediately preceding axis. For axes 0, 30 and 90 degrees,

Worked relation 2

Reasoning

Apply this relation only under the conditions just stated.



$$I_f = \frac{1}{2} I_0 \cos^2(30^\circ) \cos^2(60^\circ) = 3I_0/32.$$

Worked reasoning 3

Reasoning

The field after each filter points along that filter's axis. Using only the first and last axes would predict zero incorrectly.

Read the original diagram



- Original GRE teaching diagram: Polarisation, analyser chains and phase
- Identify the axes, units, directions and the stated model.

Independent transfer · attempt

Reasoning

Insert one ideal analyser at angle θ between two crossed ideal polarisers. Derive final intensity for unpolarised input I_0 and find the angle giving maximum output. Compare with removing the middle analyser. **[4]**

Independent transfer · reasoning

Reasoning

$I_f = (I_0/2) \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta = (I_0/8) \sin^2(2\theta)$. The maximum is $I_0/8$ at 45 degrees between the outer axes (modulo equivalent axes). Removing the middle analyser gives zero. It changes polarisation while reducing intensity; it does not create energy. Award chain, identity, optimum and comparison/interpretation.

Check assumptions and limits

Reasoning

Malus intensity uses $\cos^2$ of the angle to the immediately preceding polarisation; a phase plate changes relative phase, while a polariser removes a field component.

Keep the technical distinctions

Reasoning

Malus's law : Ideal linear-analyser intensity law $I_{\text{out}} = I_{\text{in}} \cos^2 \theta$ for linearly polarised input. Brewster angle : Incidence angle at which the reflected p component vanishes for the ideal dielectric interface.

Check yourself

- Define Malus's law and give its condition of use.
- Define Brewster angle and give its condition of use.
- Redo the original transfer with a changed parameter; check a limiting case.