

Solutions

2.1 Answering the questions before looking at the notes is active recall. Correct answers increased from four of six on Monday to five of six on Thursday on the same questions. The record does not isolate a cause.

2.2 A citation connects a borrowed claim to its source. It identifies where the claim comes from; the source's evidence still needs evaluation and the claim is not automatically true.

2.3 Sole management is unsupported: the teammate entered data and the team corrected clashes. The professional qualification is false under Source B, which says Mei has none. A guarantee for every future list exceeds the one eight-event test.

2.4 For example: revise the summary and attempt new citation questions without notes in a confirmed Friday study slot. Compare every response with the course material and identify errors. Record the results that evening and choose a follow-up for any remaining gap. Accept another specific feasible task with a review point. Do not award a promised perfect score as the check.

3.1 Award 2 per evaluation: evidence/limit, practical implication. Difficulty alone does not show correct understanding; check and correct the response. One response is still wrong and only the same questions were tested; revisit that gap and try new questions before a wider mastery claim. Source B supplies one fictional brief, not every institution's rules; read the actual application instead of generalising the photo requirement.

3.2 Local analytic rubric, $8 \times B1$: specific computing interest; own formula contribution; teammate role retained; one eight-event test; two clashes found/team correction; no invented qualification or future guarantee; specific feasible next learning step; coherent truthful 80–110 word excerpt. Example: "I want to study computing because I enjoy making tools that help people check their work. For a club-room project I wrote a spreadsheet formula to flag overlapping times, while a teammate entered the event data. Testing one eight-event list identified two clashes, which our team corrected. That result is useful, but it does not establish reliability for every event list or give me a professional software qualification. I want to test another list, including events that start when others end, and compare each flag with a manual check. I will record any error before revising the formula."