

Solutions

Authored self-check guidance: accept equivalent correct methods and supported interpretations. The points check reasoning, not an official grade.

2.1 A – Return already expresses going back. B reverses direction; C changes movement into staying; D is an unclear and contradictory alteration. Only A removes redundancy while retaining return.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.2 B – Measured wording fits an informative report without unsupported judgement. A invents universal approval; C and D use exaggerated informal evaluation rather than reporting a measured difference.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.3 postponed – The event still occurs, at a later time.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.4 False – It may remove an essential limit on the finding.

Correct response linked to the given case.

3.1 “The volunteers recorded the outcomes.” Deleting the whole sentence would remove the act of recording; concision should remove duplicated meaning, not the information itself.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.2 “The arrival windows improved scheduling, but one visitor still waited outside.” The original’s “totally amazing” is informal and unsupported, while “every problem forever” contradicts the remaining wait and sign changes. The revised benefit is limited to the reported arrangement.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.3 No. Only eighteen worked after a permitted minor adjustment; twelve needed parts and ten were referred elsewhere. Inspected describes all forty, while repaired would falsely assign one successful outcome to all of them. Precision controls word choice.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.