

Solutions

Authored self-check guidance: accept equivalent correct methods and supported interpretations. The points check reasoning, not an official grade.

2.1 C – Interrupted calls directly concern the need for a quiet interview area. A and D concern design or history unrelated to interruption; B is a personal reading preference, not evidence of quiet-room need.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.2 D – Acknowledgement and response strengthen this limited argument. A wrongly requires agreement with all objections; B treats a manageable cost as disproof; C misidentifies a practical objection as unrelated history.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.3 purpose – Purpose determines whether information belongs.

Correct response linked to the given case.

2.4 False – Truth alone does not establish relevance or support.

Correct response linked to the given case.

3.1 Remove it; P2 explains registration and card use, while the logo’s age contributes neither. A useful replacement could explain how a volunteer updates a card when an inspection finishes. Label that proposed detail as a suggestion requiring confirmation, not a fact already supplied.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.2 Six recorded complaints in the first two sessions support a local noise concern. The smaller capacity is a relevant objection to moving, motivating arrival windows and walk-in spaces. It does not show the move is worthless.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.

3.3 “Recorded complaints fell from six to one in the next two sessions, but attendance also fell, so the move’s separate effect is uncertain.” One complaint remains, contradicting eliminated, and other conditions changed.

Sound conclusion or proposed method. Relevant supporting steps or textual evidence. Accurate conditions, checks or qualification.